

Symplectic Resolutions for Quotient Singularities

Baohua FU

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Let X be a smooth irreducible complex variety and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. There is a natural action of G on T^*X preserving the canonical symplectic form. We show that if T^*X/G admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$, then X/G is smooth and Z contains an open set isomorphic to $T^*(X/G)$. In the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $G \subset SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, we give an McKay correspondence type description for the Hodge numbers of Z .

1 Introduction

Recall that a regular 2-form on a smooth complex algebraic variety is *symplectic* if it is closed and non-degenerate at every point. A *resolution* is a projective morphism from a smooth variety onto an algebraic variety X which is an isomorphism outside of the singular locus of X . In the pioneering paper [Be], A. Beauville has proposed and initiated the following notion of symplectic singularities.

Definition 1. *A normal complex algebraic variety X is said to have symplectic singularities if there exists a regular symplectic 2-form ω on X_{reg} such that for any resolution of singularities $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, the 2-form $\pi^*\omega$ defined a priori on $\pi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}})$ can be extended to a regular 2-form on $\tilde{X}$. If furthermore the 2-form $\pi^*\omega$ extends to a symplectic 2-form on the whole of $\tilde{X}$ for some resolution of X , then we say that X admits a symplectic resolution.*

As shown in [Fu] (see also [Ka1] and [Ve]), a resolution of symplectic singularities is symplectic if and only if it is crepant. An important class of examples of symplectic singularities is the normalization of the closure of a nilpotent orbit in a semi-simple Lie algebra. For these singularities, the problem of the existence of symplectic resolutions has been completely solved in [Fu].

Convention: throughout this note, all varieties are supposed to be quasi-projective, which guarantees the existence of the quotient by a finite group.

Another class of examples of symplectic singularities comes from the following:

Proposition 1.1 ([Be], **Prop. 2.4**). *Let V be a variety with symplectic singularities, G a finite group of automorphisms of V , preserving a symplectic 2-form on V_{reg} . Then the variety V/G has symplectic singularities.*

A very particular case is the quotient of a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ by a finite group G of symplectic automorphisms. The problem of the existence of symplectic resolutions for such quotient singularities has been studied by D.Kaledin in [Ka1] and M.Verbitsky in [Ve]. In particular, they have shown that the existence of a symplectic resolution for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G$ implies that G is generated by symplectic reflections, i.e. by the elements $g \in G$ such that the fixed point set by g in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is of co-dimension 2. However the complete classification problem of G such that $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/G$ admits a symplectic resolution is far from being solved.

The importance of this problem comes from the generalized McKay correspondence. If a symplectic resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}/G$ exists, then the McKay correspondence relates the geometry of Z to some properties of G . An example is the correspondence between a “natural” basis of $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ and the conjugacy classes of G (see [Ka2] and [Ba]), here $H_*^{BM}(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ is the Borel-Moore homology groups.

In this note, we study the existence of symplectic resolutions for general quotient symplectic singularities. We prove in section 2 the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let V be a smooth irreducible symplectic variety and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V)$ which preserves the symplectic form on V . Suppose that V/G admits a symplectic resolution. Then the closed subvariety $\cup_{g \neq 1} \text{Fix}(g)$ is either empty or of pure codimension 2 in V .*

As an easy corollary, an isolated quotient symplectic singularity admits a symplectic resolution if and only if it is of dimension 2. In section 3, we

restrict to the particular case of $V = T^*X$, where X is a smooth irreducible complex algebraic variety, and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ which acts naturally on T^*X preserving the canonical symplectic structure on V . Then using some ideas of Kaledin [Ka1], we prove

Theorem 1.3. *If the quotient T^*X/G admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$, then X/G is smooth and there exists a Zariski open set U of Z which is isomorphic to the total space of the cotangent bundle $T^*(X/G)$ of X/G .*

The idea of the proof is to study the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on T^*X/G , which lifts on Z . The symplectic form Ω on Z satisfies $\lambda^*\Omega = \lambda\Omega$, which makes the arguments in [Fu] (see also [Ka1] and [Nak]) possible.

Applying this to the case of $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, we get

Corollary 1.4. *If $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n/G$ is a symplectic resolution, then Z is simply connected and rational.*

In section 4, we study McKay correspondence for symplectic resolutions of T^*X/G . The key tool is the orbit E-functions (see [Ba]), which is shown to be equal to the string E-function in *loc.cit.*. In the particular case of $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projective space and G a finite group in $SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$ is a symplectic resolution. Then the Hodge numbers of Z can be calculated by the following*

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(Z) u^p v^q = (uv)^n \sum_{\{g\}} \sum_i \frac{(uv)^{k_i(g)} - 1}{uv - 1},$$

where $k_i(g)$ are multiplicities of distinct eigenvalues of $g \in SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and $\{g\}$ runs over conjugacy classes in G considered as a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

Corollary 1.6. *The Euler number of Z is given by $e(Z) = (n+1)c(G)$, where $c(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of elements in G considered as a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$.*

In the last section, we prove Göttesche's formula for Hilbert schemes of the cotangent bundle of a curve, which has also been proved by H.Nakajima [Nak] using another approach.

Acknowledgments: I want to thank D.Kaledin, C.Walter and J.Wierzba for some helpful discussions. I am especially grateful to A.Beauville and A.Hirschowitz for many interesting discussions and suggestions. Without their help, this work could never have been done.

2 General case

Recall that a variety is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial if any Weil divisor has a multiple that is a Cartier divisor. A smooth variety is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.

Theorem 2.1. *Let V be a smooth irreducible symplectic variety and G a finite group of symplectic automorphisms.. Suppose that V/G admits a symplectic resolution. Then the closed subvariety $F = \cup_{g \neq 1} \text{Fix}(g)$ is either empty or of pure codimension 2 in V .*

Proof. Being a quotient of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal variety by a finite group, V/G is again $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and normal. This gives that any component W of the exceptional set of a resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow V/G$ is of pure codimension one. On the other hand, by a result of D. Kaledin ([Ka3] proposition 1.2, see also [Nam] corollary 1.5 for the same assertion without assuming projectivity) any symplectic resolution is semismall, i.e. $2\text{codim}(W) \geq \text{codim}(\pi(W))$, thus $2 = 2\text{codim}(W) \geq \text{codim}(\pi(W))$. Suppose that V/G is not smooth, then the singular locus of V/G is of $\text{codim} \geq 2$, hence $\pi(W)$ is of codimension 2.

However, the singular set of V/G is contained in $p(F)$ with $p : V \rightarrow V/G$ and hence $\text{codim}(F) \geq 2$. It thus suffices to show that $\text{Fix}(g)$ is not of codimension one for any $g \in G$. But this can be excluded as any element $g \in G$ is symplectic. $\square$

Remark 1. *The above proof shows that the same assertion holds if V is only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial with singularities in codimension ≥ 3 .*

As in dimension 2, quotient singularities are A-D-E singularities which admit crepant resolutions, the above theorem shows:

Corollary 2.2. *Let V be a smooth irreducible symplectic variety and G a finite group of symplectic automorphisms of V . Suppose that V/G has only isolated singularities. Then V/G admits a symplectic resolution if and only if $\dim(V) = 2$.*

Remark 2. In [SB], N. Shepherd-Barron has proved that under some extra conditions, any symplectic resolution of an isolated symplectic singularity is isomorphic to the collapsing of the zero-section in the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{min}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{min}$ is the minimal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

3 Case of T^*X/G

In this section, we will restrict to the following case. Let X be an n -dimensional (quasi-projective) smooth irreducible complex variety and G a finite group of automorphisms of X . Let T^*X be the total space of cotangent bundle of X and ω the canonical symplectic form on T^*X . The group G acts naturally on T^*X preserving the symplectic form ω , thus T^*X/G is a variety with symplectic singularities. Our purpose here is to give some necessary conditions on G for the existence of a symplectic resolution for T^*X/G .

Theorem 3.1. *If T^*X/G admits a symplectic resolution, then X/G is smooth.*

This theorem is essentially proved by Kaledin in [Ka1] (and some of his other results extend also to our case), where he considered the special case of $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. Here we just give an outline of the proof.

Consider the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the vector bundle $T^*X = T^*X$, which commutes with the G -action, so we get a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on T^*X/G . For this $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, we have $\lambda^*\omega = \lambda\omega$. The fixed points of this action are identified with the subvariety $X/G \subset T^*X/G$. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$ be a symplectic resolution and Ω the corresponding symplectic form on Z . As shown in [Ka1] (see also section 3.1 of [Fu]), the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on T^*X/G lifts to Z in such a way that π is $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant. For this $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, we have $\lambda^*\Omega = \lambda\Omega$. The key lemma is

Lemma 3.2. *For every $x \in X/G \subset T^*X/G$, there exists at most finitely many points in $\pi^{-1}(x)$ which are fixed by the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on Z .*

The proof is based on the equation $\lambda^*\Omega = \lambda\Omega$ and the semismallness of the map π . For details, see prop. 6.3 of [Ka1]. Since a generic point on X/G is smooth, the map $\pi : \pi^{-1}(X/G) \rightarrow X/G$ is generically one-to-one and surjective, thus there exists a connected component Y of fixed points $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ such that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X/G$ is dominant and generically one-to-one. Now by the above lemma, this map is also finite. Now that X/G is normal implies that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X/G$ is in fact an isomorphism. Since Z is smooth, $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is a union of smooth components, so Y is smooth, thus X/G is smooth.

Theorem 3.3. *If T^*X/G admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$, then Z contains an open set U which is isomorphic to $T^*(X/G)$.*

Proof. By the proof of above theorem, there exists a connected component Y of $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ such that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X/G$ is an isomorphism. In particular we have $\dim(Y) = n$.

For any fixed point $y \in Y$, the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on Z induces a weight decomposition

$$T_y Z = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} T_y^p Z,$$

where $T_y^p Z = \{v \in T_y Z \mid \lambda_* v = \lambda^p v\}$, and $T_y Y$ is identified to $T_y^0 Z$. The equation $\lambda^* \Omega = \lambda \Omega$ gives a duality between $T_y^p(Z)$ and $T_y^{1-p}(Z)$. In particular, $\dim(T_y^1 Z) = \dim(T_y^0 Z) = \dim Y = n$, so $T_y^p Z = 0$ for all $p \neq 0, 1$, which gives a decomposition $T_y Z = T_y Y \oplus T_y^1 Z$ and that Y is Lagrangian with respect to Ω .

Let U be the attraction subvariety of Y , i.e. $U = \{z \in Z \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \cdot z \in Y\}$, and $p : U \rightarrow Y$ the attraction map. By the work of A.Bialynicki-Birula [BB], the decomposition $T_y Z = T_y Y \oplus T_y^1 Z$ gives that U a vector bundle of rank n over Y , so U is identified with the total space of the normal bundle N of Y in Z . Now we establish an isomorphism between N and T^*Y as follows. Denote by Ω_{can} the canonical symplectic structure on T^*Y . Take a point $y \in Y$, and a vector $v \in N_y$. Since Y is Lagrangian in the both symplectic spaces, there exists a unique vector $w \in T_y^* Y$ such that $\Omega_y(v, u) = \Omega_{can,y}(w, u)$ for any $u \in T_y Y$. We define the map $i : N \rightarrow T^*Y$ to be $i(v) = w$. It is clear that i is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant isomorphism. $\square$

Here are some immediate corollaries.

Corollary 3.4. *If X/G is simply connected, then Z is also simply connected.*

Proof. If X/G is simply connected, so is $T^*(X/G)$. Notice that the open map $i : U \simeq T^*(X/G)$ induces a surjective map $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$, so $\pi_1(Z) = 0$. $\square$

Now we will consider the case of $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and G a finite group in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n/G$ be a symplectic resolution, then Z is simply connected and rational.*

Proof. By our theorem, $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ is smooth. A classical theorem (§5 Chap. V of [Bo]) implies then $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$, thus $T^*(\mathbb{C}^n/G)$ is simply connected and rational, which gives the corollary. $\square$

That Z is simply connected has been proved by M. Verbitsky in [Ve].

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n/G$ be a symplectic resolution and $F = \pi^{-1}(0)$ the exceptional set over 0. If F is either n -dimensional irreducible or smooth, then F is rational.*

Proof. Denote by $y_0 \in Y$ the point mapped to $0 \in V \oplus V^*/G$. In both cases, F is irreducible. Since π is $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant, $F \cap U$ is contained in $T_{y_0}^*Y$. If F is of dimension n , then $F \cap U$ is n -dimensional and closed in U , so $\mathbb{C}^n \simeq T_{y_0}^*Y \subset F$, i.e. F is rational. If F is smooth, then $F \cap U$ is also smooth. Note that $F \cap U$ is a smooth closed cone in $\mathbb{C}^n$, so $F \cap U$ is a linear space $\mathbb{C}^k$, with $k = \dim(F)$, which gives that F is rational. $\square$

The sub-variety F is the essential difficulty to understand Z . It looks like that every irreducible component of F is rational, but we could not prove this in general. For the 4-dimensional case, this has been proved by J. Wierzbka [Wi].

4 McKay correspondence

Let X be an algebraic complex variety. The cohomology groups with compact supports $H_c^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ admit a canonical mixed Hodge structure. We will denote by $h^{p,q}(H_c^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$ the dimension of the (p, q) -Hodge component of the k -th cohomology.

Definition 2. *We define $e^{p,q} := \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k h^{p,q}(H_c^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$, and the polynomial $E(X; u, v) := \sum_{p,q} e^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q$ is called the E-polynomial of X .*

Here are some basic properties of E -polynomials, for proofs see [BD].

- If the Hodge structure is *pure*, i.e. $h^{p,q}(H_c^k(X, \mathbb{C})) = 0$ when $p + q \neq k$, then $e^{p,q} = (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(X)$.
- The Euler characteristic of X is equal to $E(X; -1, -1)$.
- If X is a disjoint union of locally closed subvarieties X_i , then $E(X; u, v) = \sum_i E(X_i; u, v)$.
- If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a locally trivial fibration with fiber F , then $E(X; u, v) = E(Y; u, v) \cdot E(F; u, v)$.

Consider a smooth irreducible complex variety V . Let G be a finite group in $\text{Aut}(V)$ and $g \in G$ an arbitrary element. To simplify the exposition, we suppose that G preserves a volume form on V . Take a connected component

W of V^g , fixed points by g . For a point $x \in W$, the differential dg is an automorphism of $T_x V$. Denote by $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}a_i}$ the eigenvalues of dg with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1[$. The *weight* $wt(g, W)$ is defined to be $\sum_i a_i$. Since G preserves a volume form, dg has determinant 1, this gives that the weight is an integer, thus it is indepent of the choice of the point x in the connected component W . Moreover, if $h \in G$ commutes with g , and $W' = h \cdot W$ another component of X^g , then $wt(g, W') = wt(g, W)$. Denote by $C(g)$ the centralizer of g in G and by $C(g, W)$ the subgroup of all elements in $C(g)$ which leaves the component W invariant.

Definition 3. *The orbifold E-function of a G -manifold V is defined to be*

$$E_{orb}(V; G; u, v) := \sum_{\{g\}} \sum_{\{W\}} (uv)^{wt(g, W)} E(W/C(g, W); u, v),$$

where $\{g\}$ runs over all conjugacy classes in G , and $\{W\}$ runs over the set of representatives of all $C(g)$ -orbits in the set of connected components of V^g .

In the paper [Ba], V.Batyrev has proved that the orbitfold E-function is equal to the string E-function. Applying this to our case, we get

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be an n -dimensional smooth projective variety and G a finite group in $\text{Aut}(X)$. Suppose there exists a symplectic resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$. Then: (1) the Hodge structure on Z is pure; (2) the Hodge numbers of Z can be calculated by the following:*

$$\sum_{p, q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p, q}(Z) u^p v^q = (uv)^n \sum_{\{g\}} \sum_{\{W\}} E(W/C(g, W); u, v),$$

where $\{g\}$ runs over all conjugacy classes in G and $\{W\}$ runs over the set of representatives of all $C(g)$ -orbits in the set of connected components of X^g .

Proof. Consider the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on T^*X/G , which lifts to Z . We identify X/G with the fixed points by the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action in T^*X/G . Since X/G is projective and the map $\pi : \pi^{-1}(X/G) \rightarrow X/G$ is projective, the variety $\pi^{-1}(X/G)$ is projective. Notice that the fixed points $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ by the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on Z is contained in $\pi^{-1}(X/G)$ and Z is smooth, so $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is a union of smooth projective varieties. In particular, the Hodge structure on $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is pure. Now the same argument of the proof of theorem 8.4 in [Ba] gives the affirmation (1).

For the second affirmation, theorem 7.5 of *loc.cit.* gives that $E(Z; u, v) = E_{orb}(T^*X; G; u, v)$. Since the Hodge structure on Z is pure, $E(Z; u, v) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(Z) u^p v^q$, thus we need to calculate $E_{orb}(T^*X; G; u, v)$. The key point here is that every fixed component F in T^*X is of the form T^*W , for some fixed component W in X and $C(g, F) = C(g, W)$. The map $F/C(g, F) \rightarrow W/C(g, W)$ is a fibration with fiber $\mathbb{C}^{dim(W)}$, so

$$E(F/C(g, F); u, v) = (uv)^{dim(W)} E(W/C(g, W); u, v).$$

Now what we need is to calculate the weight of g at W . Since g acts on T^*X as a symplectic automorphism, lemma 2.6 of [Ka2] gives that $wt(g, W) = \frac{1}{2} codim(T^*W) = codim(W)$. Now our theorem follow immediately. $\square$

A particular simple situation is the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projective space and G a finite group in $SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $\pi : Z \rightarrow T^*X/G$ is a symplectic resolution. Then the Hodge numbers of Z can be calculated by the following*

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(Z) u^p v^q = (uv)^n \sum_{\{g\}} \sum_i \frac{(uv)^{k_i(g)} - 1}{uv - 1},$$

where $k_i(g)$ are multiplicities of distinct eigenvalues of $g \in SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and $\{g\}$ runs over conjugacy classes in G , which is considered as a subgroup in $Aut(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

Proof. Let $p : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the natural projection. For any $g \in SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, the point $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ is fixed by g if and only if $g(x) = \lambda x$ for some λ . In particular, x should be an eigenvector of g . If we denote by L_i the eigenspaces of g , then the fixed points X^g are $X_i^g = p(L_i)$. Notice that if $h \in G$ commutes with g , then $g(h(x)) = \lambda h(x)$, i.e. $h(x)$ and x are in the same eigenspace of g , which shows that the $C(g)$ -action on the set of connected components of X^g is trivial. So the index set $\{W\}$ in the sum of the orbit E-function is the same as $\{X_i^g\}$.

Consider an eigenspace L_i , whose dimension is denoted by $k_i(g)$. Then there is a fibration $L_i - \{0\}/C(g) \rightarrow X_i^g/C(g)$ with fiber $\mathbb{C}^*$. Now use properties of E-polynomials, we have $E(L_i - \{0\}/C(g); u, v) = E(L_i/C(g); u, v) - E(\{0\}; u, v) = (uv)^{k_i(g)} - 1$ and $E(\mathbb{C}^*; u, v) = uv - 1$. This gives that $E(X_i^g/C(g); u, v) = \frac{(uv)^{k_i(g)} - 1}{uv - 1}$. Now the theorem follows directly from theorem 4.1. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *The Euler number of Z is given by $e(Z) = (n+1)c(G)$, where $c(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of elements in G , which is considered as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$.*

Corollary 4.4. *$H^{2j+1}(Z, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for all j and $H^{2i}(Z, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $i \leq n-1$. Furthermore $H^{2i}(Z, \mathbb{C})$ has the Hodge type (i, i) for all i .*

5 One application

Let Σ be a smooth projective curve. There is a natural action of the permutation group S_n on the smooth projective variety Σ^n . This action gives an action of S_n on $T^*(\Sigma^n) \simeq (T^*\Sigma)^n$. As easily seen, the action of S_n on $(T^*\Sigma)^n$ is exactly the permutation action, so $T^*(\Sigma^n)/S_n \simeq S^n(T^*\Sigma)$. The well-known resolution $\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma) \rightarrow S^n(T^*\Sigma)$ gives a symplectic resolution for the quotient $T^*(\Sigma^n)/S_n$. Now we will use the McKay correspondence to deduce the cohomology of $\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma)$.

Notice that the conjugacy classes of the permutation group S_n correspond to partitions of n . Let $\nu = (1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \cdots n^{a_n})$ be a partition of n , i.e. $a_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_j j a_j = n$. Here a_i is the number of length i -cycles in the permutation. Then the fixed points subvariety $\text{Fix}(\nu)$ in Σ^n by ν is isomorphic to $\prod_j \Sigma^{a_j}$. This gives that $\text{Fix}(\nu)/C(\nu) \simeq S^\nu \Sigma := \prod_j S^{a_j} \Sigma$. By our theorem 4.1, we have

$$\sum_k h^{2k}(\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma)) t^{2k} = t^{2n} \sum_\nu \sum_j h^{2j}(S^\nu \Sigma) t^{2j}.$$

By the Poicaré duality and change t to $1/t$, this gives

Lemma 5.1.

$$P_t(\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma)) = \sum_\nu t^{2n-2d(\nu)} P_t(S^\nu \Sigma),$$

where $d(\nu) = \sum_j a_j$ is the complex dimension of $S^\nu \Sigma$.

Now using Macdonald's formula (see [Ma]) for cohomology of symmetric products of algebraic curves, we can deduce Göttsche's formula in the case of $T^*\Sigma$:

Proposition 5.2.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma)) q^n = \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + t^{2d-1} q^d)^{b_1(\Sigma)}}{(1 - t^{2d-2} q^d)^{b_0(\Sigma)} (1 - t^{2d} q^d)^{b_2(\Sigma)}}.$$

Remark 3. In chap.7 [Nak], H.Nakajima has deduced this formula via an elementary argument. He firstly proved that the fixed points subvariety of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action in $\text{Hilb}^n(T^*\Sigma)$ is the disjoint union $\coprod_{\nu} S^{\nu}\Sigma$, then using Morse theory, he proved lemma 7.1.

References

- [Ba] V. Batyrev, *Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **1**, no. 1, 5-33(1999).
- [BD] V. Batyrev and D. Dais, *Strong McKay correspondence, string-theoretic Hodge numbers and mirror symmetry*, Topology **35**, No.4, 901-929 (1996).
- [Be] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. **139**, 541-549(2000).
- [BB] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math., II. Ser. **98**, 480-497 (1973).
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap.IV–VI, Hermann(Paris) 1968.
- [Fu] B. Fu, *Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits*, preprint math.AG/0205048, to appear in Invent. Math.
- [Ka1] D. Kaledin, *Dynkin diagrams and crepant resolutions of quotient singularities*, preprint math.AG/9903157.
- [Ka2] D. Kaledin, *McKay correspondence for symplectic quotient singularities*, Invent. Math. **148** 1, 150-175(2002).
- [Ka3] D. Kaledin, *Symplectic resolutions: deformations and birational maps*, preprint math.AG/0012008.
- [Ma] I. Macdonald, *The Poicaré polynomial of a symmetric product*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **58**, 563-568 (1962).
- [Nak] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series **18**, Providence AMS, 1999.

- [Nam] Y. Namikawa, *Deformation theory of singular symplectic n -folds*, Math. Ann. **319**, no. 3, 597-623 (2001).
- [Re] M. Reid, *La correspondance de McKay*, Séminaire Bourbaki n.867, preprint math.AG/9911165.
- [SB] N. Shepherd-Barron, *Long extremal rays and symplectic resolutions*, preprint.
- [Ve] M. Verbitsky, *Holomorphic symplectic geometry and orbifold singularities*, Asian J. Math. **4**, no. 3, 553-563 (2000).
- [Wi] J. Wierzbna, *Symplectic Singularities*, Ph.D. thesis, Trinity college, Cambridge University, September 2000.

Labortoire J.A.Dieudonné, Parc Valrose
06108 Nice cedex 02, FRANCE
baohua.fu@polytechnique.org